

A Non-Standard Basketball Pool: Retrospective and Prospective

Rick Cleary, Babson College
For MAA MathFest 2025

With influence from...

- Peter Staab, Fitchburg State
- Brett Presnell, U. of Florida
- John Trono, St. Michael's College
- Aaron Archer, Google (NYC)
- Robin Lock, St. Lawrence University
- Cliff Stein, Columbia
- Tim Chartier, Davidson

Retrospective...

- Original idea: Encourage people to play in an NCAA pool that was simpler than the classic “fill out the whole bracket.”

Retrospective...

- Original idea: Encourage people to play in an NCAA pool that was simpler than the classic “fill out the whole bracket.”
- Make it fun and interesting both as sports fans and mathematicians, statisticians, programmers.

The Portfolio Pool:

- A classic problem in mathematical finance is *portfolio optimization* ...
- Rather than pick every game prior to the tournament in the standard 'bracket pool', we developed this alternative:

Portfolio pool...

- Pay a \$1 entry fee, invest in \$1 worth of teams.

Portfolio pool...

- Pay \$1 entry fee, invest in \$1 worth of teams.
- Number one seeds cost 25 cents each
... number two seeds cost 21 cents each...

The whole price list

Seed	Price	Seed	Price
1	.25	8	.06
2	.21	9	.05
3	.18	10	.04
4	.15	11	.03
5	.12	12	.02
6	.10	13-16	.01
7	.08		

A Player's score is total wins by the teams they choose to purchase...

The whole price list

Seed	Price	Seed	Price
1	.25	8	.06
2	.21	9	.05
3	.18	10	.04
4	.15	11	.03
5	.12	12	.02
6	.10	13-16	.01
7	.08		

A Player's score is total wins by the teams they choose to purchase... **high score wins the whole pot (split in case of ties.)**

Like in Finance...

- Want to get a large expected return...
- Have to balance quality of a team with quality of opposition and whether they are direct competitors.
- 'Blue chips' are expensive but don't always yield good result, 'penny stocks' are tempting but hard to pick!

Different than Finance...

- Because there are many entries, a strategy that is high variance as well as high expectation is best. (Hard for a conservative investor to win in this game.)
- In terms of mathematical modeling: Maximizing $P(\text{first place})$ is not the same as maximizing expected points!

State of the Art, 2001

- With Archer, Lock and Trono we published this paper:

<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/25678302.pdf>

State of the Art, 2001

- With Archer, Lock and Trono we published this paper:

<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/25678302.pdf>

A few key points:

- Twelve seeds were historical good investment.
- Probability modeling key to good performance.
- Determining optimal entry after the tournament was a classic knapsack algorithm ... greedy algorithm usually wors!

Since 2001...

- Many players got serious about combinatorial optimization and modeling.
- Non-math fans intimidated! Resulted in establishment of alternate prize pools for single entries and multiple entries.
- And of course, many more years of data.

2025 results for this pool...

Unusual year with the four #1 seeds all making it to the Final Four:

SINGLE ENTRIES: 32 players, three tied with 18 wins ... not as good as the most conservative strategy of four #1 seeds!

MULTIPLE ENTRIES: 101 entries, winner had 20 of a possible 23 points.

Perfect Hindsight '25

School	Cost	Wins	Cents/Win	WIN TOTAL	COST TOTAL
Colorado State	0.02	1	2	1	2
McNeese State	0.02	1	2	2	4
Arkansas	0.04	2	2	4	8
Drake	0.03	1	3	5	11
New Mexico	0.04	1	4	6	15
Florida	0.25	6	4.17	12	40
Baylor	0.05	1	5	13	45
Creighton	0.05	1	5	14	50
BYU	0.1	2	5	16	60
Ole Miss	0.1	2	5	18	70
Houston	0.25	5	5	23	95

So now what?

- Evaluating probability models for $P(\text{upset} \mid \text{factor})$ where factor might be:

So now what?

- Evaluating probability models for $P(\text{upset} \mid \text{factor})$ where factor might be:
 - Difference in seeding (i.e 7 vs. 10)

Game level modeling...

- Evaluating probability models for $P(\text{upset} \mid \text{factor})$ where factor might be:
 - Difference in seeding (i.e 7 vs. 10)
 - Difference in rankings by popular websites (i.e. UCLA 29th vs Utah St. 39th)
 - Other factors (recent play, conference, etc.)

Pool level modeling

- As number of entries grows, how much 'added variance' might help an entry stand out?
- What total is likely to be needed to win the pool given the optimal outcome?

(Data suggests $6.243 + 0.557 * OPT$)

Pool level modeling

- As number of entries grows, how much 'added variance' might help an entry stand out?
- What total is likely to be needed to win the pool given the optimal outcome?

(Data suggests $6.243 + 0.557 * OPT$)

And ...

- Difference in men's and women's tournaments. (Peter Staab has already begun exploring the 'upset history' for both.)

Prospective...

We will be considering:

- Game level: Factors that help predict outcomes of individual games; and

Prospective...

We will be considering:

- Game level: Factors that help predict outcomes of individual games; and...

- Pool level: A 'field guide' to playing in an NCAA tournament pool, depending on the rules of the pool, the number of players, and for different tournaments.

Thanks for listening...

- Questions and comments welcome!
- And if you want to play portfolio pool in 2026 write my personal email address:

rickclearystats@gmail.com

(And if you want to start a women's portfolio pool, that would be great!)

References...

-Archer, Cleary, Lock and Trono; Math Horizons
Portfolio Pool:

<https://www.jstor.org/stable/pdf/25678302.pdf>

-Staab and Cleary, basketball score distributions:

<https://www.comap.com/membership/member-resources/item/same-score-streaks-in-basketball-and-in-other-sports>